



CLAY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Internal Affairs Investigations

AI#	Member Name	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Disciplinary Action
2017-001	Graham, Wendy	Sleeping on Duty	Sustained	Y
2017-002	Whiddon, Cody	Wrong prisoner Released	Sustained	Y
2017-002	Hygema, Lori	Wrong prisoner Released	Sustained	Y
2017-002	Santiago, Johnny	Wrong prisoner Released	Not Sustained	N
2017-002	Spaulding, Jamie	Wrong prisoner Released	Policy Failure	N
2017-002	Crooks, Erik	Wrong prisoner Released	Sustained	Y
2017-002	Sueflohn, Jennifer	Wrong prisoner Released	Sustained	Y
2017-002	Davis, Tifferania	Wrong prisoner Released	Not Sustained	N
2017-002	Cohron, Anthony	Wrong prisoner Released	Sustained	Y
2017-002	Padgett, Melinda	Wrong prisoner Released	Sustained	Resigned
2017-002	Graff, Amy	Wrong prisoner Released	Sustained	Y
2017-003	Danella, Eric	Sleeping on Duty	Sustained	Y
2017-004	Saunders, Chad	Color of Authority	Not Sustained	N
2017-005	Klidies, G.	Rudeness	Super inquiry only	N/A

1. **Unfounded** – an allegation of misconduct is false or not supported by the facts.
2. **Exonerated** – an incident involving the alleged misconduct occurred, but the member's actions were lawful, proper, and consistent with rules, regulations, policy and all applicable law;
3. **Not Sustained** – there is insufficient evidence available to either prove or disprove the allegation of misconduct;
4. **Sustained** - there is a preponderance of evidence to prove the member committed the alleged misconduct; or
5. **Policy Failure** – the action of the agency or the deputy was consistent with agency policy, but the policy requires revision.



CLAY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Internal Affairs Investigations

AI#	Member Name	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Disciplinary Action
2017-006	Shatto, John	Code of Conduct	Unfounded	N
2017-007	Cox & Gonzalez	Code of Conduct	Unfounded	N
2017-008	Neese, Linda	Code of Conduct	Sustained	Resigned
2017-009	Yeager, Christine	Violation of Light Duty/Off Duty	Sustained #1/ Exonerated #2	Y
2017-010	Davis, T.	Threw away county property	Not Sustained	N
2017-011	Joshua, Letosha	Conduct Unbecoming	Sustained	Resigned
2017-012	Joshua, Letosha	Improper Conduct	Not Sustained	N
2017-013	Graham, Wendy	Sleeping on Duty	Sustained	Terminated
2017-016	Cowart, Corby	Misconduct	Sustained	Resigned
2017-017	Brown, Donald	Threatening Voicemails	Unfounded	N
2017-018	Guest, Zanetta	Code of Conduct	Sustained	Resigned
2017-019	Ruby, Chris	Conduct, Unattended Prisoner	Sustained	Y
2017-019	Cox, Zach	Unattended Prisoner	Sustained	Y
2017-020	Kite/ Records	Missing Documents	Policy Failure	Policy revised

1. **Unfounded** – an allegation of misconduct is false or not supported by the facts.
2. **Exonerated** – an incident involving the alleged misconduct occurred, but the member's actions were lawful, proper, and consistent with rules, regulations, policy and all applicable law;
3. **Not Sustained** – there is insufficient evidence available to either prove or disprove the allegation of misconduct;
4. **Sustained** - there is a preponderance of evidence to prove the member committed the alleged misconduct; or
5. **Policy Failure** – the action of the agency or the deputy was consistent with agency policy, but the policy requires revision.



CLAY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Internal Affairs Investigations

AI#	Member Name	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Disciplinary Action
2017-021	Palmer, Z.	Conduct & Ethics	Sustained	Resigned
2017-022	Ventura, S.	Code of Conduct	Sustained	Resigned
2017-022	Wilson, JD	Code of Conduct	Sustained	Resigned
2017-023	Bludsworth, Jared	Improper Procedure	Sustained	Y
2017-024	Toon, Joshua	Improper Procedure	Sustained	Y
2017-024	Kraemer, Lance	Improper Procedure	Sustained	Y
2017-025	Hatton, Brynn	Policy Violation-- Gun Stolen	Sustained	Resigned
2017-026	Gonzalez, Ryan	Unlawful Arrest	Exonerated	N
2017-027	Miller, Gretchen	Conduct-- Disclosing Internal	Not Sustained	N
2017-027	Scott, Andy	Conduct-- Disclosing Internal	Sustained	Y
2017-027	Higdon, Michael	Conduct-- Disclosing Internal	Not Sustained	N
2017-027	Burns, Miachel	Conduct-- Disclosing Internal	Not Sustained	N
2017-028	Andrews, Mark	Code of Conduct	Unfounded	N
2017-029	Gross, Sabrina	Code of Conduct	Sustained	Terminated

1. **Unfounded** – an allegation of misconduct is false or not supported by the facts.
2. **Exonerated** – an incident involving the alleged misconduct occurred, but the member's actions were lawful, proper, and consistent with rules, regulations, policy and all applicable law;
3. **Not Sustained** – there is insufficient evidence available to either prove or disprove the allegation of misconduct;
4. **Sustained** - there is a preponderance of evidence to prove the member committed the alleged misconduct; or
5. **Policy Failure** – the action of the agency or the deputy was consistent with agency policy, but the policy requires revision.



CLAY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Internal Affairs Investigations

AI#	Member Name	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Disciplinary Action
2017-030	Schueler, Ben	Excessive Force	Unfounded	N
2017-031	Eisenhauer, Eddie	Conduct Unbecoming	Not Sustained	N
2017-032	Warren, Charles	Multiple Code of Conduct	Sustained	Terminated
2017-033	Truluck, Doug	Conduct Unbecoming	Sustained	Y
2017-034	English, Stephen	Failure to Perform Duties	Sustained	Y
2017-035	Ruby, Chris	Code of Conduct	Unfounded	N
2017-036	Mahla, Dan	Conduct Unbecoming	Exonerated	N
2017-037	Thompson, Pam	Gross Violation-- Unaccounted for Drugs	Sustained	Y
2017-038	Hinson, Cody	Excessive Force	Unfounded	N
2017-039	Henry, S/Rowe	Disobeying Direct Order	Sustained in part	N

1. **Unfounded** – an allegation of misconduct is false or not supported by the facts.
2. **Exonerated** – an incident involving the alleged misconduct occurred, but the member's actions were lawful, proper, and consistent with rules, regulations, policy and all applicable law;
3. **Not Sustained** – there is insufficient evidence available to either prove or disprove the allegation of misconduct;
4. **Sustained** - there is a preponderance of evidence to prove the member committed the alleged misconduct; or
5. **Policy Failure** – the action of the agency or the deputy was consistent with agency policy, but the policy requires revision.